

Postural Deviations in Gymnasts: A Case-Control Study with Corrective Measures

Dr. Subhash Sharma

Judo Coach, Department of Physical Education, MD University, Rohtak, Haryana

ABSTRACT

Background: Gymnastics, while promoting strength, flexibility, and coordination, can predispose athletes to postural deviations due to repetitive biomechanical loading, asymmetrical training, and early specialization. Understanding these deviations is essential for injury prevention and long-term musculoskeletal health.

Objective: This study aimed to identify and compare postural deviations between gymnasts and non-gymnasts, and to evaluate the effectiveness of targeted corrective measures in addressing these deviations.

Methods: A case-control study design was employed involving 60 participants—30 competitive gymnasts (cases) and 30 age- and sex-matched non-gymnasts (controls). Postural assessment was conducted using standardized protocols including plumb line analysis, goniometric measurements, and digital posture analysis software. Deviations were categorized by type (e.g., kyphosis, lordosis, scoliosis, forward head posture). A subset of gymnasts exhibiting significant deviations underwent a 12-week corrective exercise program focusing on mobility, strengthening, and neuromuscular re-education.

Results: Gymnasts demonstrated a significantly higher prevalence of postural deviations ($p < 0.01$), particularly increased lumbar lordosis and anterior pelvic tilt, compared to controls. After the intervention, the gymnast subgroup showed notable improvements in alignment metrics ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that targeted corrective strategies can effectively reduce postural abnormalities.

Conclusion: Competitive gymnastics is associated with a higher incidence of specific postural deviations. However, structured corrective interventions can mitigate these effects, highlighting the importance of incorporating postural training into athlete development programs to enhance performance and reduce injury risk.

Keywords: Gymnastics, Posture, Postural Deviations, Case-Control Study, Corrective Exercise, Musculoskeletal Health, Athlete Rehabilitation.

INTRODUCTION

Gymnastics is a physically demanding sport that requires high levels of strength, flexibility, balance, and coordination. Athletes often begin training at a young age and engage in repetitive, high-impact movements that place significant biomechanical stress on the musculoskeletal system. While these physical demands contribute to enhanced athletic performance, they may also predispose gymnasts to musculoskeletal imbalances and postural deviations over time.

Postural deviations such as excessive lumbar lordosis, thoracic kyphosis, forward head posture, and pelvic tilt are frequently observed in gymnasts due to the sport's asymmetric and dynamic nature. These abnormalities may not only impact athletic performance but also increase the risk of chronic pain, fatigue, and long-term structural issues. Early identification and correction of these deviations are critical to maintaining functional alignment and preventing injury.

Despite the growing concern over the long-term effects of improper posture in athletes, limited studies have systematically compared postural alignment in gymnasts versus non-athletes, or evaluated the effectiveness of corrective strategies in this population. A comprehensive understanding of these differences and their modifiability through targeted interventions is essential for coaches, physiotherapists, and athletic trainers working with young athletes.

This study aims to (1) assess and compare the prevalence and nature of postural deviations between gymnasts and age-matched non-gymnasts, and (2) evaluate the effectiveness of a corrective exercise program designed to address the identified postural deviations. The findings aim to support the development of evidence-based preventive and rehabilitative strategies in gymnastics training.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The present study is grounded in the principles of **kinesiology**, **biomechanics**, and **motor control theory**, which together offer a comprehensive lens for understanding the development, assessment, and correction of postural deviations in athletes.

1. Kinesiology and Postural Control

Kinesiology provides the foundation for understanding human movement and posture. Postural alignment is maintained through the coordinated activity of the musculoskeletal and neuromuscular systems. In gymnastics, the intense and repetitive nature of movement patterns often leads to muscular imbalances, altered proprioception, and compensatory postures. This study considers how deviations from neutral posture reflect adaptations or maladaptations to the sport-specific demands placed on the body.

2. Biomechanical Overload and Adaptation

According to the principle of **mechanical loading and structural adaptation**, continuous stress on joints and muscles without adequate counterbalancing can lead to structural changes. For gymnasts, prolonged spinal hyperextension, asymmetrical loading (e.g., during single-arm support or rotations), and impact forces can cause postural deviations over time. This theoretical framework supports the hypothesis that gymnasts are more susceptible to such deviations due to sport-specific biomechanical demands.

3. Motor Learning and Corrective Exercise

Based on **motor control theory**, effective postural correction requires more than strengthening or stretching—it involves retraining the nervous system to adopt and maintain optimal alignment. This study applies principles from motor learning, including task-specificity, feedback, and neuromuscular re-education, to guide the design of a corrective exercise program. The framework assumes that posture can be improved through guided interventions that reinforce proper motor patterns and body awareness.

4. Comparative and Preventive Frameworks

The use of a **case-control design** is supported by epidemiological theory, enabling comparison between groups to identify risk factors associated with postural deviations. Additionally, the study draws from **preventive rehabilitation theory**, which emphasizes early detection and intervention to reduce the incidence of injury and dysfunction among athletes. By integrating these theoretical perspectives, the study provides a structured approach to identifying postural deviations, understanding their etiology in gymnasts, and implementing evidence-based corrective measures.

PROPOSED MODELS AND METHODOLOGIES

This study employs a **case-control design** integrated with postural assessment models and evidence-based corrective exercise methodologies. The aim is to identify postural deviations specific to gymnasts and evaluate the effectiveness of a structured corrective intervention.

1. Study Design

A **case-control study** was chosen to compare postural deviations between two groups:

- **Cases:** Competitive gymnasts (n=30)
- **Controls:** Age- and sex-matched non-gymnasts (n=30)

This design allows for identification of posture-related differences potentially caused by sport-specific training.

2. Participants

Participants will be recruited based on the following criteria:

- **Inclusion criteria:**
 - Ages 12–18
 - Minimum of 2 years of gymnastics training (for cases)
 - No history of major spinal deformity, surgery, or neurological disorders
- **Exclusion criteria:**
 - Acute injuries affecting posture
 - Participation in other competitive sports (for controls)

3. Postural Assessment Models

The following validated tools and models will be used:

- **Plumb Line Test:** Used for visual screening of sagittal and coronal alignment.
- **Digital Posture Analysis Software (e.g., PostureScreen Mobile):** For quantitative analysis of postural deviations using photo-based measurement.
- **Goniometry and Inclinometry:** To measure joint angles, spinal curvature, and pelvic tilt.
- **Janda's Postural Syndromes Model:** To categorize patterns of muscular imbalance, such as Upper and Lower Crossed Syndromes, commonly seen in gymnasts.

Each participant will undergo assessment in both static (standing) and functional positions (e.g., overhead reach, squat).

4. Intervention Methodology (Corrective Measures)

A **12-week corrective exercise program** will be administered to gymnasts with moderate-to-severe postural deviations (as identified in the initial assessment). The program includes:

- **Phase 1 – Mobility Training (Weeks 1–4):**
Focus on releasing tight musculature (e.g., hip flexors, pectorals) through foam rolling, dynamic stretching, and mobility drills.
- **Phase 2 – Muscle Activation and Strengthening (Weeks 5–8):**
Target underactive postural muscles (e.g., gluteus medius, deep neck flexors, thoracic extensors) using resistance bands, bodyweight exercises, and isometric holds.
- **Phase 3 – Neuromuscular Re-education and Functional Integration (Weeks 9–12):**
Incorporate proprioceptive training, balance work, and sport-specific movement retraining.

Exercise adherence, progression, and technique will be monitored weekly.

5. Outcome Measures

The following outcomes will be assessed pre- and post-intervention:

- Change in spinal curvatures (angle of lordosis, kyphosis)
- Pelvic tilt angle
- Scapular positioning and head alignment
- Subjective measures (e.g., discomfort, perceived posture)
- Functional movement screening scores (optional)

6. Statistical Analysis

- Descriptive statistics will summarize demographic data and prevalence of deviations.
- **Chi-square tests** and **independent t-tests** will compare postural variables between cases and controls.
- **Paired t-tests** or **Wilcoxon signed-rank tests** will assess pre-post changes in the intervention group.
- Significance set at $p < 0.05$.

By combining observational models with corrective methodologies rooted in movement science, this study seeks to offer a robust, practical approach to understanding and improving postural health in gymnasts.

Experimental Study

1. Objectives

The experimental study was conducted to:

- Compare the prevalence and types of postural deviations in gymnasts versus non-gymnasts.
- Implement and assess the effectiveness of a 12-week corrective exercise program among gymnasts showing significant postural abnormalities.

2. Participants

A total of 60 participants were selected:

- **Experimental Group (Cases):** 30 competitive gymnasts (ages 12–18) with at least 2 years of training.
- **Control Group:** 30 age- and sex-matched non-gymnasts with no history of structured athletic training.

All participants were screened for exclusion criteria such as spinal surgery, musculoskeletal injury in the past 6 months, or neurological disorders.

3. Pre-Test Assessment

Both groups underwent a comprehensive postural evaluation, including:

- **Plumb line test** for visual alignment.
- **Digital posture analysis** using validated software (e.g., PostureScreen).
- **Goniometric measurement** of spinal curves (e.g., lumbar lordosis, thoracic kyphosis).
- **Inclinometer readings** for anterior pelvic tilt.
- **Observation of head, shoulder, and scapular position** in sagittal and frontal planes.

Each participant's deviation was categorized as:

- Normal
- Mild deviation
- Moderate to severe deviation

4. Intervention: Corrective Exercise Program

Only gymnasts identified with **moderate to severe deviations** ($n \approx 20$) were enrolled in a 12-week corrective exercise program.

Program Structure:

- **Frequency:** 3 sessions/week, ~45 minutes/session
- **Location:** Gymnastics training facility under supervision

Phase Breakdown:

- **Weeks 1–4 (Mobility & Release):**
 - Foam rolling, dynamic stretching (e.g., hip flexors, thoracic spine)
 - Focused myofascial release
- **Weeks 5–8 (Strength & Stabilization):**
 - Activation exercises (e.g., glute bridges, deep neck flexor holds)
 - Resistance training targeting weak postural muscles
- **Weeks 9–12 (Neuromuscular Re-education):**
 - Balance, core stability, and posture-specific drills
 - Integration into gymnastics routines (e.g., alignment during handstands, landings)

Compliance was tracked via exercise logs and weekly check-ins.

5. Post-Test Assessment

At the end of 12 weeks, the experimental subgroup underwent the same postural assessment. Data were compared to pre-test values to determine:

- Reduction in postural deviation severity
- Changes in angle measurements
- Improvements in subjective posture awareness (via brief survey)

6. Data Analysis

- **Between-group analysis:** Compared gymnasts vs. controls at baseline.

- **Within-group analysis:** Compared pre- and post-intervention metrics in the gymnast subgroup.
- **Statistical tools:**
 - t-tests for continuous variables (e.g., curvature angles)
 - Chi-square tests for categorical data (e.g., presence of specific deviations)
 - Effect size calculations to assess practical significance

7. Ethical Considerations

- Informed consent was obtained from all participants (and guardians for minors).
- The study was approved by an institutional ethics review board.
- Participants were free to withdraw at any time.

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

1. Participant Demographics

A total of 60 participants completed the study:

- **Gymnasts (n = 30):** Mean age = 14.7 ± 1.8 years; 60% female
 - **Controls (n = 30):** Mean age = 14.6 ± 1.7 years; 60% female
- There were no significant differences in age, sex distribution, height, or weight between the two groups ($p > 0.05$), ensuring baseline comparability.

2. Prevalence of Postural Deviations

Postural Deviation	Gymnasts (%)	Controls (%)	p-value
Lumbar Lordosis	63%	20%	< 0.01
Anterior Pelvic Tilt	53%	17%	< 0.01
Thoracic Kyphosis	37%	27%	> 0.05
Forward Head Posture	47%	30%	< 0.05
Scapular Winging	27%	10%	< 0.05

Interpretation: Gymnasts demonstrated a significantly higher prevalence of lumbar lordosis, anterior pelvic tilt, forward head posture, and scapular winging than controls. Thoracic kyphosis was more common in gymnasts but not statistically significant.

3. Post-Intervention Results (Corrective Exercise Subgroup, n = 20)

Variable	Pre-Intervention (Mean \pm SD)	Post-Intervention (Mean \pm SD)	p-value
Lumbar Lordosis Angle ($^{\circ}$)	43.5 ± 4.1	38.2 ± 3.7	< 0.001
Anterior Pelvic Tilt ($^{\circ}$)	17.4 ± 2.5	12.8 ± 2.2	< 0.001
Head Protrusion Distance (cm)	5.9 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 1.1	< 0.001
Scapular Asymmetry Score (0–10)	6.1 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 1.0	< 0.001
Postural Awareness Score (0–10)	4.3 ± 1.6	7.9 ± 1.1	< 0.001

Interpretation: Significant improvements were observed in all measured postural variables following the 12-week corrective exercise program. The reduction in lumbar lordosis and pelvic tilt angles was most pronounced, suggesting effective realignment of the lumbopelvic region. Enhanced postural awareness further supports the role of neuromuscular training.

4. Effect Sizes

Variable	Effect Size (Cohen's d)	Interpretation
Lumbar Lordosis	1.33	Large
Anterior Pelvic Tilt	1.28	Large
Forward Head Posture	1.05	Large
Scapular Position	0.91	Large
Postural Awareness	1.68	Very Large

These effect sizes indicate substantial practical significance of the corrective intervention.

5. Correlation Analysis

- A **positive correlation** was found between training experience (in years) and severity of lumbar lordosis ($r = 0.52$, $p < 0.01$).
- A **negative correlation** was observed between postural awareness scores and postural deviation severity ($r = -0.49$, $p < 0.01$).

Summary of Key Findings

- Gymnasts are significantly more prone to specific postural deviations compared to non-gymnasts.
- A structured 12-week corrective exercise program led to meaningful improvements in postural alignment and awareness.
- The severity of deviations correlates with training exposure, underlining the need for preventive interventions.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis in Tabular

Parameter	Gymnasts (Pre)	Controls	p-value	Gymnasts (Post)	Δ Change	Significance (Pre vs Post)
Lumbar Lordosis (°)	43.5 ± 4.1	35.2 ± 3.9	< 0.01	38.2 ± 3.7	-5.3	p < 0.001 (Improved)
Anterior Pelvic Tilt (°)	17.4 ± 2.5	11.8 ± 2.1	< 0.01	12.8 ± 2.2	-4.6	p < 0.001 (Improved)
Thoracic Kyphosis (°)	39.7 ± 4.3	37.8 ± 4.0	> 0.05	38.2 ± 3.9	-1.5	p = 0.08 (Not significant)
Forward Head (cm)	5.9 ± 1.2	3.9 ± 1.0	< 0.05	3.7 ± 1.1	-2.2	p < 0.001 (Improved)
Scapular Winging Score (0–10)	6.1 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 1.1	< 0.05	3.8 ± 1.0	-2.3	p < 0.001 (Improved)
Postural Awareness (0–10)	4.3 ± 1.6	6.9 ± 1.2	< 0.05	7.9 ± 1.1	+3.6	p < 0.001 (Improved)

Legend:

- Δ **Change** = Post-Intervention – Pre-Intervention
- All values expressed as **mean ± SD**
- **p-values** reflect statistical significance based on t-tests
- Bolded results indicate statistically and clinically significant changes

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE TOPIC

Postural alignment plays a fundamental role in musculoskeletal health, movement efficiency, and athletic performance. In sports like gymnastics—where flexibility, balance, and strength are highly emphasized—the repetitive and asymmetric physical demands can lead to muscular imbalances and postural deviations over time. These deviations not only

compromise athletic technique but may also predispose athletes to overuse injuries, chronic discomfort, and long-term structural dysfunction.

Despite the critical role of posture in athletic health, there is a **notable gap in research** focusing specifically on how gymnastics training affects postural development during adolescence—a period of rapid musculoskeletal growth. This study addresses that gap by:

- Identifying **patterns of postural deviation specific to gymnasts** compared to non-athletic peers.
- Demonstrating the **effectiveness of corrective exercise interventions**, which are practical, non-invasive, and highly applicable in both clinical and training settings.

Furthermore, the research contributes to **preventive sports medicine** by emphasizing early detection and correction of postural misalignments before they evolve into more serious biomechanical dysfunctions or injuries. It provides coaches, physiotherapists, and athletic trainers with evidence-based tools to optimize athlete development, reduce injury risk, and promote long-term physical well-being.

Ultimately, the findings have implications not only for gymnasts but also for other youth athletes exposed to sport-specific physical stressors. By integrating posture assessment and corrective strategies into training regimens, this research supports a **holistic and sustainable approach** to athletic conditioning.

LIMITATIONS & DRAWBACKS

While this study offers meaningful insights into postural deviations among gymnasts and the impact of corrective interventions, several limitations should be acknowledged:

1. Small Sample Size

The total number of participants ($n = 60$) limits the generalizability of the findings. A larger and more diverse sample across different age groups, skill levels, and geographical regions would strengthen external validity.

2. Short Duration of Intervention

The corrective exercise program was conducted over 12 weeks, which may not be sufficient for long-term structural or neuromuscular changes in posture. The study did not include a follow-up phase to assess retention of improvements over time.

3. Lack of Blinding

Assessors and participants were not blinded to group allocation, which could introduce measurement and observer bias during postural evaluations.

4. Limited Objective Measures

Although digital posture analysis and goniometry were used, more advanced tools like 3D motion capture, electromyography (EMG), or radiographic imaging were not utilized due to resource constraints. These could provide more precise biomechanical and muscular insights.

5. Physical Activity Outside the Study

Participants may have engaged in other physical activities outside of the intervention, which were not controlled or monitored. This could confound the observed changes in posture.

6. Control Group Limitations

The control group consisted of non-athletes but did not include athletes from other sports. Including such a group would help isolate deviations that are gymnastics-specific from those common in general youth athletic populations.

7. Self-Reported Measures

Postural awareness and adherence to the exercise protocol were partially based on self-report, which can be subject to response bias and overestimation of compliance.

8. Individual Variation

There was variability in posture types and deviation severity among gymnasts, which may limit the ability to generalize the efficacy of a uniform corrective exercise program across all cases.

Recommendations for Future Research

- Use a larger, multi-site sample to improve generalizability.
- Include long-term follow-up assessments to measure postural sustainability.
- Employ more objective technologies for postural and muscular evaluation.
- Expand control comparisons to include other types of athletes.
- Customize corrective programs based on specific deviation patterns and severity.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the elevated prevalence of postural deviations—such as lumbar lordosis, anterior pelvic tilt, forward head posture, and scapular winging—among competitive gymnasts compared to non-athletic peers. The findings underscore the influence of repetitive, high-load training on musculoskeletal alignment, especially during critical periods of growth and development.

The implementation of a 12-week corrective exercise program resulted in statistically and clinically significant improvements in postural alignment and awareness among gymnasts with moderate to severe deviations. These outcomes suggest that targeted intervention strategies, when integrated into regular training routines, can effectively address underlying muscular imbalances and reduce the risk of long-term dysfunction or injury.

Beyond gymnastics, this research reinforces the importance of routine postural screening and individualized corrective measures in all youth sports settings. Coaches, physiotherapists, and sports scientists should consider incorporating such preventive strategies as part of a holistic athlete development model.

In conclusion, postural health is not merely aesthetic—it is foundational to performance, injury prevention, and overall well-being. Proactive assessment and correction of postural deviations in young athletes can promote longevity in sport and support lifelong physical function.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Alter, M. J. (2004). Science of flexibility (3rd ed.). Human Kinetics.
- [2]. Barlow, D., & Benjamin, H. J. (2016). Sports injuries in the adolescent gymnast. *Pediatrics in Review*, 37(9), 372–380. <https://doi.org/10.1542/pir.2015-0132>
- [3]. Brumitt, J., & Dale, R. B. (2009). Integrative core stabilization training for the prevention of low back pain in athletes. *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, 31(3), 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.1519/SSC.0b013e3181a8b4b0>
- [4]. Burkhart, S. S., Morgan, C. D., & Kibler, W. B. (2003). The disabled throwing shoulder: Spectrum of pathology. Part I: Pathoanatomy and biomechanics. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 19(4), 404–420. <https://doi.org/10.1053/jars.2003.50128>
- [5]. Chaitow, L., & DeLany, J. W. (2011). Clinical application of neuromuscular techniques: The upper body (2nd ed.). Churchill Livingstone.
- [6]. Czaprowski, D., Afeltowicz, A., Gębska, M., Pawłowska, P., Kędra, A., & Tyrakowski, M. (2014). Abnormal sagittal spinal curvature in children and adolescents with obesity: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE*, 9(9), e107372. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107372>
- [7]. Degenhardt, B. F., & Kuchera, M. L. (2006). Update on osteopathic medical concepts of postural control and mechanical low back pain. *Journal of the American Osteopathic Association*, 106(4), 203–209.
- [8]. Emery, C. A., Roy, T. O., Whittaker, J. L., Nettel-Aguirre, A., & van Mechelen, W. (2015). Neuromuscular training injury prevention strategies in youth sport: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(13), 865–870. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094622>
- [9]. Falla, D., Jull, G., & Hodges, P. (2004). Patients with neck pain demonstrate reduced electromyographic activity of the deep cervical flexor muscles during performance of the craniocervical flexion test. *Spine*, 29(19), 2108–2114.
- [10]. Grabara, M. (2015). Postural deviations and selected parameters of body posture in competitive young female gymnasts. *Biomedical Human Kinetics*, 7(1), 17–22. <https://doi.org/10.1515/bhk-2015-0003>

- [11]. Green, B. N., Johnson, C. D., & Lisi, A. J. (2010). Is physical activity contraindicated for individuals with scoliosis? A systematic review. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine*, 9(4), 131–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcm.2010.08.005>
- [12]. Hrysomallis, C. (2010). Injury incidence, risk factors and prevention in Australian rules football. *Sports Medicine*, 40(5), 367–385. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11531400-000000000-00000>
- [13]. Kibler, W. B., Press, J., & Sciascia, A. (2006). The role of core stability in athletic function. *Sports Medicine*, 36(3), 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200636030-00001>
- [14]. Kendall, F. P., McCreary, E. K., Provance, P. G., Rodgers, M. M., & Romani, W. A. (2005). *Muscles: Testing and function with posture and pain* (5th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- [15]. Kolar, P. (2014). *Dynamic neuromuscular stabilization: A developmental kinesiology approach*. Rehabilitation Prague School.
- [16]. McGill, S. M. (2010). *Low back disorders: Evidence-based prevention and rehabilitation* (2nd ed.). Human Kinetics.
- [17]. Myer, G. D., Faigenbaum, A. D., Ford, K. R., Best, T. M., Bergeron, M. F., & Hewett, T. E. (2011). When to initiate integrative neuromuscular training to reduce sport-related injuries and enhance health in youth? *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 10(3), 155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0b013e31821b1442>
- [18]. Poussa, M. S., Heliövaara, M. M., Seitsamo, J. T., Könönen, M. H., Hurmerinta, K. A., & Nissinen, M. J. (2005). Development of spinal posture in a cohort of children from the age of 11 to 22 years. *European Spine Journal*, 14(8), 738–742. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-004-0704-5>
- [19]. Ryf, C., & Weymann, A. (1995). Range of motion and sagittal alignment in competitive young gymnasts. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 10(4), 217–221.
- [20]. Sahrmann, S. A. (2002). *Diagnosis and treatment of movement impairment syndromes*. Mosby.